Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Tarjinder Singh,

60, Royal Residency, Chajju Majra, Sector 127, Kharar, District Mohali – 140 307

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Municipal Council, Kharar – 140 301 First Appellate Authority, o/o Municipal Council, Kharar – 140 301

Appeal Case No. 319 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Tarjinder Singh (Appellant) 98764-78130 Jang Bhadur Singh, JE (for the Respondent) 98885-49003

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **11.6.2020**, **19.8.2020**, **26.11.2020**, **28.1.2021**, **and 9.9.2021**)

1. The RTI application is dated **31.5.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding the water pipeline to Royal Residency in Sector 127, Chhaju Majra,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **18.7.2019** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **15.1.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.9.2021**.

2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Jang Bhadur Singh, Junior Engineer, has submitted a fresh pointwise reply to the appellant along with some information. The Commission examined the reply to the eight points on the RTI application and is of the view that this RTI application has now been adequately addressed. The appellant also concurs with the Commission.

3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **<u>CLOSED</u>**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Madan Lal Dhiman,

C/o Hem Raj, Village Jaswantgarh, Post Office-Kotvilla, District Panchkula.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Tehsildar, District Mohali. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, District Mohali.

Appeal Case No. 2202 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 26.11.2020, 28.1.2021, and 9.9.2021)

1. The RTI application is dated **18.3.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **16.6.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.8.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.9.2021**.

2. Both parties are absent for the fourth time in succession. However, a perusal of this case file, shows that the respondent PIO, o/o Tehsildar, Mohali, had in fact sent a pointwise reply to the appellant vide Letter No. 423 Dated 9.6.2020 to his RTI application. There has since been no representation from the appellant giving any indication that he is dissatisfied with the reply given by the respondent PIO. It is also notable that the appellant also has been absent from all hearings of this Appeal Case. This only compels the Commission to conclude that the appellant is satisfied with the respondent PIO's reply and no longer wishes to pursue this Appeal Case.

3. In view of above, there is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Asa Singh,

S/o Wadhawa Singh, # 3119, Sector-71, S.A.S Nagar – 160 071

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Estate Officer, Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, Phase-8, Mohali.

Complaint Case No. 568 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Complainant) Absent Gurwinder Singh, PIO-cum-AEO (Respondent) 98786-02071

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 28.1.2021 and 9.9.2021)

1. The complainant, **Asa Singh**, filed this RTI application dated **25.6.2020** and sought <u>Information</u> <u>regarding a shop running in a residential house No. 3104, Sector 71, Mohali, from the PIO</u> o/o EO GMADA, Mohali. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **24.8.2020**. The case was last heard on **9.9.2021**.

2. The complainant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO-cum-AEO, Gurwinder Singh, stated that a reply with the requested information was sent to the complainant vide Letter No. 68864 Dated 18.5.2021. The Commission notes that the respondent PIO was issued a Show Cause Notice on 28.1.2021 to which he has submitted no reply either oral or in writing, however, in view of the fact that the respondent PIO submitted a copy of the reply/information sent to the complainant. This was diarized at No. 11165 Dated 24.5.2021, in the Commission. The respondent PIO has also asked for the delay in responding to this RTI application to be condoned in view of the situation prevailing because of the pandemic.

3. Accepting the respondent PIO's plea, this Commission sees no further cause for action and this Complaint Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Kesar Singh Sekhon,

S/o Sh. Puran Singh, Flat No. B5-104, Purab Premium Apartments, Sector 88, Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer,
o/o Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, (GMADA),
Sector-62, Puda Bhawan, SAS Nagar (Mohali).
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Greater Mohali Area Development Authority, (GMADA),
Sector-62, Puda Bhawan, SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Appeal Case No. 811 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Gurwinder Singh, PIO-cum-AEO (Respondent) 98786-02071

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 11.6.2020, 19.8.2020, 26.11.2020, 28.1.2021, 9.9.2021)

1. The RTI application is dated **16.10.2019** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding Purab Premium Apartments Sector 88, Mohali,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **25.11.2019** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **19.2.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.9.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. At the hearing on 28.1.2021, the respondent PIO was directed to send a fresh copy of information given to the appellant, to this Commission. This was received via email on 8.9.2021. The Commission has examined the pointwise reply given by the respondent PIO along with some documents by way of information and is satisfied that this RTI application has been addressed to the extent it can be. This is especially in view of the fact that a lot of the "information" requested in said RTI application is in the form of queries and questions.

3. In view of the above, there is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Baldev Singh, S/o Late Durga Dass, Village Devi Nagar, Tehsil Dera Bassi, District Mohali.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Dera Bassi. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, District Mohali.

Appeal Case No. 2152 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Lakhvir Singh, Panchayat Secretary (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 26.11.2020, 28.1.2021, and 9.9.2021)

1. The RTI application is dated **12.2.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding the Mahila Mandal in Devinagar village,** as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **12.2.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **27.5.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.9.2021**.

2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Lakhvir Singh, Panchayat Secretary, stated that as directed, a fresh pointwise reply to the RTI application along with the requested information was sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 152 Dated 13.5.2021. There is since been no communication from the appellant. This compels the Commission to conclude that he has received the information and satisfied with it.

3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Vishal Kumar (Advocate), S/o Tarsem Lal, Room No. 27, 3rd Floor, Main Building, Gate No. 4, New Bar Complex, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh.

Vsersus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner Office, District Arms & Ammunition Controller, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali.

Appeal Case No. 2756 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: Vishal Kumar (Appellant) 98720-67150 Jagdeep Singh, Superintendent (for the Respondent) 98769-98882 Jaswinder Singh, SA (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 28.1.2021 and 9.9.2021)

1. The RTI application is dated **1.7.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding Arms Licenses**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **31.7.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **21.9.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **9.9.2021**.

2. Both parties are present. As directed at the hearing on 28.1.2021, the respondent PIO has provided certified copies of the information requested by the appellant. It is in this Commission's view that this RTI application has been adequately addressed.

3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **<u>CLOSED</u>**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Jaspreet Singh Sidhu,

S/o Naunihal Singh Sidhu, # 41, Sector-2, Chandigarh.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Secretary General, Punjab Olympic Association, Punjab Olympic Bhawan, Sports Complex, Sector-63, Phase-9, S.A.S. Nagar.

Complaint Case No. 148 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Complainant) Absent Ankur Gupta, Advocate (for the Respondent) 93369-90004 Rohit Ummat, Advocate (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **12.6.2020**, **20.8.2020**, **4.12.2020**, **18.2.2021**, **and 8.10.2021**)

1. The complainant, **Jaspreet Singh Sidhu**, filed this RTI application dated **30.11.2019** and sought information <u>regarding the Punjab Olympic Association</u>, from the PIO o/o Secretary **General, Punjab Olympic Association**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **3.2.2020**. The case was last heard on **8.10.2021**.

2. The complainant is absent without intimation. The respondent is represented by Ankur Gupta, Advocate and Rohit Ummat, Advocate. At the hearing on 18.2.2021, the complainant was given a final opportunity to be heard, following a written submission by the respondents in support of their contention that the Punjab Olympic Association is not a Public Authority as defined in Section 2(h) RTI Act,2005. The complainant has opted not to avail of the opportunity to be heard.

3. This Commission herewith uphold the respondent's contention that that the Punjab Olympic Association is in fact not a Public Authority as defined by the Act.

4. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **<u>CLOSED</u>**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY) State Information Commissioner, Punjab.